IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

	§	
SARAH SOAPE,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Case No. 6:23-cv-247-JDK-KNM
	§	
MAXIMUS, INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	
	§	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Sarah Soape filed this lawsuit alleging violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act by Defendant Maximus, Inc. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636.

Before the Court is the parties' joint motion for approval of settlement agreement and dismissal with prejudice. Docket No. 19. On October 13, 2023, Judge Mitchell issued a Report recommending that the Court deny the motion as to settlement approval and grant the parties' request to dismiss this case. Docket No. 22. No objections have been filed.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc),

superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to

file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, no party objected in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews

the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews the

legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See United States

v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918 (1989)

(holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard

of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the

United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 22) as the findings of this Court. The

parties' motion (Docket No. 19) is **DENIED** as to the request for settlement approval.

Further, the Court construes the parties' request for dismissal with prejudice as a

stipulated dismissal pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). It is

therefore **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice pursuant to the

parties' stipulation.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this 1st day of **November**, 2023.

EREMY D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2